

Gun Lawyer -- Episode 311 Transcript

SUMMARY KEYWORDS

Second Amendment, Mental health, Gun laws, ERPO, Red flag, Due process, Search warrant, Wellness check, Confiscation, Civil rights, Firearms license, Arrive Together, Police intervention, Privacy rights, Judicial bias

SPEAKERS

Teddy Nappen, Speaker 3, Evan Nappen

Evan Nappen 00:19

I'm Evan Nappen.

Teddy Nappen 00:20

And I'm Teddy Nappen.

Evan Nappen 00:23

And welcome to Gun Lawyer. Today we are going to take an in-depth look at how the Second Amendment oppressionists are weaponizing, literally weaponizing, mental health against our rights. You know, with the expansion of the Second Amendment as our tool to dismantle gun laws and end the oppression that we've been suffering under these traditional gun laws, beginning with the National Firearms Act (NFA) and all through up until you know '60s and '70s, we're seeing these laws fall by the wayside. The opponents of our constitutional rights are scrambling to find avenues, other avenues to exploit, to weaponize, to continue to try to disarm us, take away our rights, and take our guns.

Evan Nappen 01:43

One of the rich veins that they have been tapping into is the weaponization of mental health, and using mental health as the vehicle to seize guns and disarm people at a record pace, frankly. They're doing it by a number of different tactics that they're tying into one another. And what we have going on, particularly in New Jersey, is they're building on their base confiscation. Their base confiscation is ERPOs, which are Extreme Risk Protection Orders, sometimes called Red Flag. Now, New Jersey's Extreme Risk Protection Order system is the absolute worst ever created or imagined. New Jersey has it because there is zero due process up front when it comes to the utilization of and implementation of an ERPO.

Evan Nappen 02:57

So, what happens with an ERPO is an individual or even just law enforcement themselves, without the individual being a participant, can then file the ERPO with the court. Of which, the person who's going to be subjected to it has no say and has no knowledge of it even taking place. That ERPO restrains that person immediately from possessing firearms. Then built into the ERPO is a search warrant in which

the individual's Fourth Amendment rights get weaponized against them to have the search take place and guns seized. The person's house, you know, is tossed looking for weapons. After the damage is done, after the Order is put forward and the privacy of one's home is invaded, and your property is taken, then and only then do you get a chance to go to court, which they put in the law has to take place within 10 days.

Evan Nappen 04:16

So now, with all the damage done, you're railroaded into a hearing within 10 days to try to fight to get your guns and your gun rights back. And if this TERPO, which is the initial ERPO, which is a Temporary Extreme Risk Protection Order, becomes a FERPO, which is a Final Extreme Risk Protection Order, all under the banner of ERPO. Any of those protection orders operate as a bar to you having firearms, and you can then be prosecuted for possession of any guns if you are subject to an ERPO. You're prosecuted in the same manner as a felon in possession would be. This is the foundation of the psychological mental health weaponization because the TERPO is based upon some allegation that you're an extreme risk.

Evan Nappen 05:19

The extreme risk is painted often in terms of some mental health issue, some mental health concern. The person's angry. The person's upset. The person is acting oddly in a way that they somehow can put at the most minimal amount of accusation forward without anything countering it to have this happen, and these Red Flag / ERPOs are now combined. Okay, now you have to take this base of confiscation mechanism. So, you have this basic mechanism in place, and then it gets combined with the wellness check, so-called. Where somebody has a concern, whether valid or not, and says to the police, "Well, I think that this person might be a danger to themselves." At which time, the police coordinate to try to get the person either to agree to go to be evaluated for mental health, or forced into it. Forced into a so-called wellness check, a mental health evaluation. Then you have springing up the mental health commitment gun prohibitions, which are standalone prohibitions where an individual is prohibited because they've had a voluntary or involuntary mental health commitment. And that, too, was put forward by Murphy in the Carry Killer Law.

Evan Nappen 07:11

By the way, that changing of mental health commitment to not just be involuntary but even voluntary, creating a standalone separate bar to getting a firearm license and being able to even arguably continue to possess firearms. So, this is built into the abuse system. You have the mechanism for search and seizure that's done with no due process. Then you have the wellness check mechanism there to have this take place. Then you have the mental health commitment denial in this weaponization effort. And then you have another couple of fronts, including the question that you'll find on a firearm application: Have you ever been treated or observed by any doctor or psychiatrist or any mental condition, etc., etc.? Now, if you've even been treated or observed, then you then are going to have to overcome that to get a firearm license, to have a gun, to keep your permit, to avoid a revocation, and that requires a doctor at best. If this will even cut the mustard, so to speak, a doctor to say that you're safe for firearms. And, of course, most doctors are cowards. They don't want to say anybody's good for guns, even though they know you are, you are good for guns. Because they're afraid that if there's a problem with you and your gun, they're going to get sued. So, good luck finding a

doctor willing to even do the letters. And if you have a mental health commitment itself, then you're going to need to get a mental health expungement before you can even get your rights restored. And apart from the amount of legal time, which is a lot to get a mental health expunge done, you're going to need a doctor's report there saying you're safe for guns. So, you see, they've built this whole weaponization.

Evan Nappen 09:20

Now, on top of everything that I've just said there, the Attorney General Jennifer Davenport has recently announced the expansion of the ARRIVE Together program. (<https://www.njoag.gov/attorney-general-davenport-announces-expansion-of-the-arrive-together-program/>) Oh my! What is the ARRIVE Together program? Well, that's the Alternative Responses to Reduce Instances of Violence and Escalation. You know that's right out of "1984" there. You know, it's Big Brother labeling.

Evan Nappen 09:52

And what is this? What is this? Well, this is where you see municipal police departments partner with mental health professionals and resources to do what I just said. The basis for this announcement, this September 29 news release, is that the Attorney General in New Jersey is proud to announce that every municipality in Mercer County is now covered by this ARRIVE program. Every municipality can now be fully weaponized with the operational personnel to make happen what I just said by a mere phone call. Even an anonymous phone call. We've seen that happen. And Mercer County, by the way, isn't the only county. They're bragging, first of all, that since they've put the ARRIVE program together, there have been 21,500 successful interventions. That means anybody there with guns, you know, has had them seized. 's built and baked right into the cake. They now say that 81% of New Jerseyans have access to the ARRIVE program. It's covering 700 municipalities just in Mercer County, and that's even prior to its full county-wide expansion.

Evan Nappen 11:57

It is now fully operational in every municipality in the following counties, folks. Every municipality in the following counties has this mechanism for weaponization of mental health to confiscate guns, utilizing everything I just talked about, and this is how it gets initiated. One of any number of ways, but this is one of their favorite ways. The counties that have full coverage now: Cape May, Cumberland, Gloucester, Hudson, Hunterdon, Monmouth, Morris, Sussex, and Union counties have ARRIVE in every one of their municipalities. In all, more than 81% of New Jersey residents are covered by this. And it goes on to say, anyone experiencing a mental health crisis, in addition to struggling with substance abuse or having thoughts of suicide, can call the crisis numbers, and lo and behold, this is invoked.

Evan Nappen 13:18

And it's not just only by that, because you have that entire Red Flag / ERPO mechanism ready to roll. In addition to that, you have what is called Duty to Warn, where any medical professional is required in New Jersey, nurses, therapists, doctors, if a person makes any statement that they want to harm others or harm themselves in any way, they are required to contact police over it. And boom, this invokes. I mean, if you just go and you tell your therapist, "Man, I had such a rotten day, I could kill myself", even though it's just a hyperbolic statement. It doesn't matter. Or that guy got me so mad, I could kill him.

Doesn't matter. It's like making a bomb joke at the airport. This complete picture, as we see growing. This is what they want to spread throughout the United States. This is their inroad to having this banner of mental health assistance and doing good under this banner of this to oppress and disarm and take away guns and gun rights. And this is how it actually is getting used. This is not anything new. Historically, politically, this has been a mainstay. You've seen it happen throughout oppressive governments taken to very various extremes. I mean, this is doing it this way, but in communist countries.

Teddy Nappen 15:08

Yeah.

Evan Nappen 15:08

Like, tell me, Teddy, in Soviet Union, what did they do?

Teddy Nappen 15:12

So, in the Soviet Union, one of their preferred techniques for oppression was to declare the political dissidents were insane, and they would be later incarcerated in hospitals. This is actually from an article from Fee.org (<https://fee.org/articles/zhores-medvedevs-life-a-chilling-reminder-of-how-the-soviets-weaponized-psychiatry-against-dissidents/>) Interviewing these various victims, and particularly they highlight the fact this goes right to Karl Marx. Marx's influence. It's a warped process in belief that your mental state is the mental state of the structures of mind and believing in their political mindset. It's so insane. Where Marx profound the spurring doctrine known as polylogicism, justifying stifling dissidence. There are different classes of people in different structures of the mind. Therefore, declaring the bourgeois the mentally defective because they are inherently unable to comprehend Marx. Because you can't understand the logic of communism, you must be locked up. That is insane, without other choice of the words. But that is what they are applying. Why would you own a gun? That's crazy! Oh, it's so dangerous. Why would you do that? It's that level of mindset. It always goes to Marxism for these people. All these actions.

Evan Nappen 16:33

And, fundamentally, it's where they get this idea. So, they've extrapolated it and are now applying it to their efforts at oppression of the Second Amendment. You see the mechanisms they've created to do it New Jersey-style. And I'll tell you what, folks. If you want to see blatant proof of their bias, their absolute bias that what they're really about is banning guns. There's no. The simplest, greatest statement of it. You can go to Jennifer Davenport's website for the State of New Jersey Department of Public Safety. Go right to the website, and you'll see their headline: "Preventing and Combating Gun Violence. (<https://www.njoag.gov/priorities/preventing-and-combating-gun-violence/>)

Evan Nappen 17:23

So, you know, "gun violence" is always their catchphrase. "Gun violence". Even though there's plenty of gun violence that is actually quite good. Like when it comes to defending yourself. What do you think you're going to use to defend yourself? Gun violence, right? But it puts it in the negative. But nonetheless, go right to the preventing gun violence combat, preventing combating gun violence, right on the state of New Jersey Department of Law and Public Safety website, and you will see a huge

picture right up top, a picture, and it's a picture of a hand holding a sign, like at a protest. So, obviously, this is somebody pushing a political position of some sort with a sign, and this is on the official State of New Jersey website. A sign that says "Stop Gun Violence", and what is on that sign? A handgun with the red ban symbol through it. Ah, ban guns. So, if you want the proof of what their motive and what their reality, what they're really about, just look at their giant picture of a protester holding up a sign. You see the hand with the sign, and what's on it? Ban guns. That's what they're doing, and you can see it for yourself. his entire program, foundationally, is all about that.

Teddy Nappen 19:13

They also brag about it on their website. You can go to the program. They go into far details, talking about the specifics of what it will do. They say traditionally an officer interaction of individual in crisis was either call the screener and wait for them to arrive. To consist of the law and make a decision for transportation for individual voluntary or involuntary. Under our co-response program, the screeners are seen near the beginning of the response, saving the officer time waiting for the ultimate decision. Basically, here now we have a doctor on standby to railroad you into being committed or checked involuntarily, screwing you out of your rights way faster and avoiding all that legal drama and your rights. Don't you love that?

Evan Nappen 19:58

Oh, and here's a thing that we don't see talked about, but this is something I find to be important. You know, you can go to what is the World Psychiatric Association, and we'll have the link on the website for the show, where there is a "Code of Ethics for Psychiatry". (https://www.wpanet.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/09/WPA-Code-of-Ethics-2020.final2_.pdf) Literally, the Code of Ethics by the World Psychiatric Association.

Evan Nappen 20:26

In their Code of Ethics, they put forward the principles that are expected to be followed by psychiatric professionals. Let's take a look, folks, at some of the principles that they talk about. Principle number two: "Respect for patients' autonomy. Psychiatrists build relationships with patients that are based on mutual trust, acknowledging patients' role as partners in the process of diagnosis, treatment, rehabilitation. These must take into account all local regulatory matters. Number two, psychiatrists seek the informed consent of their patients whenever possible. However, they recognize that the nature of psychiatric disorders will require exceptions, including when patients are unable to make informed decisions about treatment when considerations of patients' health, safety, or public safety call for non-consensual interventions. Look at that. Already laying the groundwork where it's perfectly fine and ethical to have this system in place of ratting you out, no matter what or benign your statement may have been. So that you get thrust into the weaponization of mental health to lose your gun rights. Look at this.

Evan Nappen 22:04

Part five: Psychiatrists keep in confidence information concerning their patients. Well, that sounds good. They inform patients at the inception of treatment of relevant limitations on confidentiality of communicated Information really, except in emergencies, including imminent threat to harm other people, or under proper legal compulsion, they do not reveal information. Oh, look at the exemptions.

Look at how New Jersey wrote their law. See that. There's limitations. See that confidentiality that you thought you had? Well, you know, if there's a threat to harm other people, you know, and that's just your verbalization. No matter how much you didn't really mean it, and you really didn't. It was just a hyperbolic. Oh, doesn't matter, and you're not going to. Do you think you have confidentiality? What a joke! You don't have confidentiality. Anything you say, it's like you're talking to the Government operative, essentially, because that's what they're mandated under law to be. Number 11: Psychiatrists respect patients' culture, ethnicity, language, and religion. They do not discriminate against patients on any grounds, including age, sex, gender, race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, disability, language, religious, or political affiliation, or socioeconomic status. Neither they attempt to impose their own values on patients and patients' families. Really? Except when it comes to guns and your political affiliation.

Teddy Nappen 23:55

Except for guns, gender, feminism, transgenderism. All the tenets of the Leftist arguments and ideology. And also following their degree that follows the logic of postmodernism. Other than that, don't impose your logic.

Evan Nappen 24:10

Well, wait, Teddy. Here's number 12 on their ethics. Psychiatrists should oppose requests to detect and/or report on the presence of anti-government ideas or radicalization of their patients in religious or political matters. So, if they're actually terrorists promoting, well, that you got to keep quiet. You better shut up about that. If it's anti-government and radicalization, you've been radicalized. Oh, okay. Wow, well, we're not gonna bring that up at all.

Teddy Nappen 24:47

No. Their definition of radicalism is men can't become women. Oh, that's radical. I always love how they use that terminology and they just twisting of words and the softening and hardening of language that they always like to pull. Oh, it's gun safety. Ooh, gun violence. We're trying to fight that.

Evan Nappen 25:08

Well, here's one of their ethics. Here, try this. Psychiatrists avoid taking part in governmental, societal, or personal activities that are aimed at discriminating against an ethnic, racial, religious, sexual, or other group. But you see, gun owners don't fall under that, Teddy. I think we may discuss this further about that. That is not, of course, what they're talking about.

Teddy Nappen 25:37

So, if you attend a rally, basically wanting to stand for Americans and not allow a bunch of illegals coming into your country, oh, you've committed racism and therefore an insanity.

Evan Nappen 25:49

Now, continuing this, because it's this important. Not only are they bringing in police, not only are they bringing in mental health professionals, not only do they weaponize this entire mental health front, but now they are also bringing in the clergy. That's right. This is right from the NRA-ILA article from October 5. "Bad faith: Gun Controllers Seek to Enlist Clergy in Firearm Confiscation Efforts.

(<https://www.nraila.org/articles/20261005/bad-faith-gun-controllers-seek-to-enlist-clergy-in-firearm-confiscation-efforts>) That's right. You think you're confidentially talking with your pastor, or your rabbi, or your priest? Well, guess what? They are now pushing clergy to report, to go and invoke and use what fundamental mechanism? I bet you can guess. Red Flag / ERPO. That's right. Slam it home, even to the degree that where you thought you had a relationship of confidentiality and ability to talk to your religious persons in whatever faith you may be. Well, no, no, no. You see, the anti-Second Amendment crowd has now focused on getting clergy, clergy, to promote and continue with their agenda against our rights. This is their gambit. This is their avenue as we win and win and win in knocking out old laws. This is how they're mechanizing their attempts, and you need to be aware of this.

Evan Nappen 27:47

I'm going to tell you right now, folks. Let's just be clear. This is the GOFU. The GOFU is talking to any medical professional about whether you own guns or ever verbalizing any type of threat about yourself or to others. The GOFU is talking to clergymen of any sort that you're involved with about your firearm, firearm ownership, etc. about what you're going through. All these are conduits to the weaponization of mental health and the ERPO / Red Flag. Zero due process system being invoked and slammed on you as an individual. This is what the anti-Second Amendment, the Second Amendment oppressionists are building this machine, and they're looking for every cog and every piece that they can put into it. You need to be aware, and don't be the GOFU.

Teddy Nappen 28:55

That was the doctor that I did my interview with here, where we were talking about, and even said like, actually, that and it goes against your insurance, and he goes into details on how to counter that question because you are like you know you go in for a physical and they say, hey, do you own firearms? Why are you asking me that? Just throw it back at him. Why are you asking me that? Why do I need to answer that? Why am I and just throw it back? Should I report this and just scare the living crap out of them? Because it's disgusting. We need to push back on that. Hey,

Evan Nappen 29:28

I've even seen it now automatic where they hand you the tablet where you answer questions and they ask about whether you have guns. I mean, just forget it. You know, they have no business asking about that has nothing to do with medical professional. Yet, if they know you have guns and you wrote yes to that, then they can invoke the weaponization machine at any point, and this is why they're gathering that information. This is all part of it. You better see the writing on the wall and don't fall for it.

Evan Nappen 30:04

Hey, let me tell you about our good friends at WeShoot this week. WeShoot has some really cool guns that they are offering and putting out that you can go and see for yourself at WeShoot, right in Lakewood. A fantastic indoor range and facility. Great resource. That's where Teddy and I both shoot. Where we both get our training. We love it. WeShoot, and so will you. They have the Sig Sauer MPX K AOW - Black and Coyote. They're really cool. You've got to check out that. They have the Sig Sauer P365 Fuse, the 365 platform stretched its legs, and the Fuse family continues to turn heads. It's a really cool piece there. Check out that Fuse. They have the Daniel Defense DDM4 PDW AOW. You know Daniel Defense is quality. It's fantastic, and WeShoot's got them. You can check them out there as well.

Evan Nappen 31:14

WeShoot has a membership sale going on. This is your great opportunity to save \$100 a year on individual or family membership, and you can save \$250 on the new Signature VIP membership. These sales are only valid till October 18. So, make your move now. Go to WeShoot, conveniently located in Lakewood, New Jersey. This is the place to be. The place to shoot. Teddy and I will be there. Actually, this weekend, we're going to be there and getting another great training. So, check it out. We know you'll love WeShoot. We love WeShoot. You'll love it. Go to weshootusa.com. Right on the web. Top of line photography. Great information. Tremendous community outreach. They're not just a gun range. They are a community. They are a community, and they believe in our Second Amendment rights. They believe in your ability to defend yourself, to have the best training, the best equipment, and the most fun. Go to weshootusa.com.

Evan Nappen 32:31

Let me shamelessly promote my book, New Jersey Gun Law: The Bible of Jersey Gun Law. It's over 500 pages, 120 topics, all question and answer. And if you don't want to be a GOFU, you need to understand New Jersey's insane matrix of gun laws. You need a copy of my book. Go to EvanNappen.com. EvanNappen.com. Pick up your copy today. And we are growing. Our firm is growing, and we need to hire another attorney. We need people. In New Jersey, the fight never ends. If you or someone you know is an attorney that would like to fight for Second Amendment rights, and join the Nappen Law Firm, get in touch. Give me a call. Go to EvanNappen.com. We're looking for good people that believe in 2A and want to fight for it. To defend law-abiding citizens that New Jersey tries to turn into criminals, or weaponizing the system against them. We come in and turn the system back around, so that rights are protected and people are saved. It's what we do. Teddy, what do you have for us today in Press Checks?

Teddy Nappen 33:56

Well, as you know, Press Checks are always free. Again, I always keep hearing the what is what is the Trump what has Trump done for the Second Amendment? And it keeps coming up every single time. I always hear people say like he hasn't done enough. The fact is that having the Civil Rights Division fighting for our rights and pushing. We've never. Thanks to Bruen, all the changes you can see coming down the pipeline. Where now that we are able to carry in New Jersey, to where now we may even see the death of the assault firearm laws, and now we're talking about machine guns and all these other things coming down the line. I mean, this could not have happened, and I know this because it's good to always check with our buddies at The Trace because they never steer us wrong at how pissed they are. This is how you know they can tell on themselves because of how incredibly racist they are. The Justice Department has treated gun owners as an oppressed minority for a year. (<https://www.thetrace.org/2026/09/doj-civil-rights-gun-2a-lawsuits/>) Here's what that looks like.

Evan Nappen 35:06

Well, we are oppressed minority because what they've been doing to us for a long time.

Teddy Nappen 35:09

They've yeah uh huh they what the legislating everything being a felony turning law-abiding gun owners into criminals while ruining their lives?

Evan Nappen 35:20

Imprisoning gun owners, incarcerating.

Teddy Nappen 35:22

ATF cracking down your door and assassinate you. Multiple times. I mean, what more do you need? But okay, continue. One year ago, the Justice Department of Civil Rights Division sued the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department over the alleged, wasn't alleged, proven true, delays to issuing permits to carry under concealed guns. It was a first in flurry of litigation brought by the Trump administration challenging gun restriction caused championed by the President's political base. I love this is where they always start. Here's the false premise. They always paint the previous administration in the best regard. The Civil Rights Division traditionally battled discrimination against marginalized groups based on race, sex, disability, and religion. But under the Trump administration, the units turned to gun owners, including them as an oppressed minority. The Division opened a Second Amendment Section in December to handle the caseload. Over the past year, the Civil Rights Division - Second Amendment Section has filed 10 lawsuits, launched one investigation, and reached settlements according to analysis of The Trace. Pause. So, right there, they go with the false premise that thinking the Second Amendment is not a civil right. You hear that in the words.

Evan Nappen 36:45

Well, the whole thing that was First Amendment enforcement was what they just reviewed. This is Second Amendment. Both are constitutional rights and deserve absolute enforcement instead of opposition by our Government. For once, finally, the DOJ is defending and fighting for the Second Amendment in the same way that they fought for and defended First Amendment. Now they're consistent.

Teddy Nappen 37:15

Yeah, and let's go a step further. They're saying it always fought for the civil rights. Okay, cut to 2021 under the same department, under the Biden administration. They were suing Texas, trying to force them to redistrict their maps because it was apparently voter suppression because they said their maps were racist. Till later, they redrew their maps, completely removing the groups and undoing the gerrymandering that the Left was trying to push. So, when you say defending and helping oppress minorities, what you're really saying is rigging elections in the favor of the left. That's the civil rights division in their eyes, because they say, "Oh, but that's not fair. It's very fair. And also, here's another way to tell on yourself. Remember who the head of the U.S. Department of Justice under Civil Rights Division under Biden, Kristen Clark, who has now been appointed the General Counsel to the NAACP. Yeah, real fighting of civil rights under the double the NAACP when they're not too when they're not too busy funneling money to Klansmen.

Evan Nappen 38:24

Well, I don't know. Did they do it or just Southern Poverty Law?

Teddy Nappen 38:28

The Southern Poverty Law Center were the ones that were funneling the money to there, but it's the interchanging of the NAACP on that end. But just the fact that they, just for the fact that they are the ones saying, "Oh, it was never political going after blue states and trying to fight for rights. It's always about fighting for minorities. Cut to the political bias groups that are just weaponization of the administration to win votes. That that was it. That was the civil division, and now it's actually using to fight for rights.

Teddy Nappen 39:03

Well and that is where they are shining and making progress, tremendous progress. It's great to see it, and it's just something where it makes so much sense that the trace can't deal with it, and instead they're couching it to try to make it a lie. Yet they're promoting, as usual, their spin, their lies. When the DOJ is finally doing what's right, defending the entire Constitution, and the Second Amendment is not a second-class right. It's the same class as the First Amendment. Our founding fathers knew what they were doing. There's a reason the Second Amendment is second to none. It is that critical, and that's that. Vital, and that's what distinguishes us from the rest of the world.

Teddy Nappen 40:05

Yeah, and it was the Southern Poverty Law Center, the ones that were funding Klansmen.

Evan Nappen 40:11

Yeah, not the NAACP.

Teddy Nappen 40:13

But yeah they didn't fund them, but they are political and fund and right there of course it doesn't mean they're not political but so it's the point still remains like it's ridiculous,

Evan Nappen 40:23

But the Southern Poverty Law Center, yeah, they're actually being criminally prosecuted over those things. The NAACP is not. But they are. The NAACP has become extremely, you know, they're Left. Whereas there, there are other plenty of other civil rights. Well, there are civil rights organizations that try to be even pro-gun. As a matter of fact, Roy Innis and CORE, they supported Second Amendment because they realized the importance of it, particularly for minorities, particularly for minorities.

Teddy Nappen 41:00

The only time the ACLU gets involved is involves marijuana.

Evan Nappen 41:04

Well, that's a whole other difference. Well, they got involved with marijuana as a disqualifier, right? They don't want to.

Teddy Nappen 41:11

That was why.

Evan Nappen 41:12

And you know, it's funny how they say politics makes strange bedfellows, and it does. So, this is where we're at. But okay, Teddy, thanks for that valuable information.

Evan Nappen 41:26

I just want to say that this is Evan Nappen and Teddy Nappen reminding you that gun laws don't protect honest citizens from criminals. They protect criminals from honest citizens.

Speaker 3 41:40

Gun Lawyer is a CounterThink Media production. The music used in this broadcast was managed by Cosmo Music, New York, New York. Reach us by emailing Evan@gun.lawyer. The information and opinions in this broadcast do not constitute legal advice. Consult a licensed attorney in your state.