

Gun Lawyer -- Episode 302 Transcript

SUMMARY KEYWORDS

Gun Lawyer, New Jersey assault firearm law, Third Circuit Court, Supreme Court, stay granted, unconstitutional laws, Second Amendment, sensitive places, National Firearm Act, suppressors, Jordan Salinas, good guy with a gun, training, legal advice, gun rights.

SPEAKERS

Evan Nappen, Teddy Nappen, Speaker 2

Evan Nappen 00:16

I'm Evan Nappen,.

Teddy Nappen 00:18

And I'm Teddy Nappen.

Evan Nappen 00:20

And welcome to Gun Lawyer. Well, my friends, the wins keep coming. These are great times. You know, we have suffered from the oppressors on our gun rights for decades upon decades, and now we are seeing the collapse of all their machinations over the years. It is great and getting greater. And so, just within this short period of time, we've had the spectacular win in New Jersey in the Third Circuit Court of Appeals and the full en banc panel, all the judges, of course, finding that New Jersey's 36-year-old assault firearm law and magazine law is unconstitutional. It's a fantastic decision, as we've discussed, written by a Biden-appointee judge who happened to be the first woman of color appointed to the Appellate bench. That is fantastic and has multi-dimensional impact, of course, having that great decision.

Evan Nappen 01:52

Now, with that having been said, yes, a stay has been granted in terms of the implementation of the finding of unconstitutionality. So, that stay is granted essentially because traditionally these kind of stays do get granted, especially when the Supreme Court itself of the United States has taken this issue and has granted certiorari over the assault weapon, what New Jersey calls assault firearm law under Viramontes and Grant. They'll be deciding that. Essentially we'll have a decision by next June on that issue affecting the nation. Now, New Jersey has got their stay because they're requesting the Supreme Court of the United States to grant certiorari over New Jersey's case, and the stay has been granted pending the final resolution, essentially, of these issues, or at least in good part, these issues by the United States Supreme Court. So, in the meantime,

Teddy Nappen 03:12

I don't know if you caught what the AG Davenport wrote in their press release, Dad.

Evan Nappen 03:20

Well, they can continue to irreparably harm law-abiding, honest gun owners over these unconstitutional laws until that finally happens. But what exactly did Davenport say as they try to turn an utter defeat into some sort of short-term victory?

Teddy Nappen 03:45

We are thrilled that the Third Circuit this morning stayed its ruling on our assault weapon / large capacity magazine case while our petition to the U.S. Supreme Court proceeds. That ensures this 36-year law will remain in place. (<https://www.njoag.gov/statement-from-attorney-general-jennifer-davenport-on-third-circuits-grant-of-a-stay-in-assault-weapons-large-capacity-magazines-case/>) 36 years of going after people, putting them in jail, taking away their rights, providing ruining their lives.

Evan Nappen 04:07

Hey, wait, Teddy, are you reading from their news release?

Teddy Nappen 04:11

Oh, you know, I read their news release and then added the little context that they forgot.

Evan Nappen 04:16

Did they actually use the word "assault weapon"?

Teddy Nappen 04:20

Yes.

Evan Nappen 04:20

Or did they say "assault firearm"? What did they say?

Teddy Nappen 04:21

Assault weapon.

Evan Nappen 04:22

Davenport called it "assault weapon" law?

Teddy Nappen 04:26

Yes, assault weapons.

Evan Nappen 04:27

Okay, just so you know, this is the top law enforcement attorney for New Jersey who is calling their law something it is not. We do not have an "assault weapon" law. We have an "assault firearm" law. Now, granted, it's a similar issue, and you know it's the same idea of going after New Jersey's version of semi-automatics. But you would think if you're a lawyer, and you're a lawyer for the State that has this case that you might want to refer to it as the actual name that your State utilizes, because that term "assault firearm" is the term embedded in New Jersey's law. It is a defined term under N.J.S. 2C:39-1.

They are called "assault firearms" in New Jersey by law, by law. But you know, why would she bother actually using the correct legal term when discussing laws? That would be amazing, wouldn't it?

Teddy Nappen 05:46

Well, Dad, I mean, just the abuse alone, just to give you the one-to-one. You've had many times where people have been charged with having a "assault firearm", and it's not. But they love to abuse it and just use whatever term they want. I mean that goes right back to Josh Sugarmann, and his whole idea of making that term "assault firearm" to confuse the public so they could pass their laws. I mean.

Evan Nappen 06:10

Yep, it's exactly that. Well, Sugarmann wrote the manifesto "Assault Weapons and Accessories in America". That's what laid the groundwork for this issue. It finally has one foot in the grave, is bedridden, on its deathbed, and the Supreme Court will hopefully administer the coup de gras in June 2027. I believe the odds heavily, heavily favor us, virtually to the point of I would be shocked if we lose. I just don't see that happening, and the antis know it as well. Those oppressors get it that they're going to lose on this issue.

Teddy Nappen 06:54

It's Viramontes and Grant.

Evan Nappen 06:58

Yeah. Those are the two cases that Supreme Court has granted certiorari on. Just so you know, one is a local law out of Cook County, Illinois, that bans assault weapons, and has incorporated into the definition, magazines into their definition of assault weapon. So, the Supreme Court might actually address the magazine issue because it's actually part of Viramontes' law itself. e may see that. The Grant case deals with Connecticut's assault weapon ban, state law, and when they challenged in Connecticut, they didn't challenge the magazine portion. They only challenged the so-called assault weapon portion. New Jersey's case, in the Cheeseman v. Platkin case, that court addressed both assault firearms, aka assault weapons, and magazines. And now, with New Jersey requesting certiorari, meaning requesting the U.S. Supreme Court to take the case, it also opens up the magazine issue. So, the Supreme Court that can do anything it wants might choose to address the magazine issue in the Viramontes and Grant decision. They might even, arguably, they could even take up New Jersey's case and make it part of that. They might, and what most likely they're going to just have it in a holding pattern.

Evan Nappen 08:47

And we have the Duncan case that deals with magazines as well out of California, the Duncan v. Bonta case (25-198). So, what it means is, if the Supreme Court doesn't deal with magazines by next June, but just the guns, then they may very well take New Jersey's case and Duncan with the split in the circuits there, and then deal with magazines. Like I said, they might do it all together. They might not. But New Jersey proceeding with this actually weirdly in the long run may present and give us a great opportunity because it presents magazines as a complete win by the court from our circuit. So, this may be one of those situations where you know the word to New Jersey is be careful what you wish for. This

is very interesting to follow. But I believe that all these laws are going to go to the dustbin of history. It's just a matter of time.

Evan Nappen 09:59

But as I have advised listeners, even when this decision came out, not to do anything because of the ability to get a stay. To wait and see. Well, that's proven correct. It's been proven out to be the wise thing to do, and have our listeners not end up being GOFUs by obtaining the firearms and magazines that are not yet technically lawful. So, for these continuing months, New Jersey is still free to enforce the magazine and assault firearm laws. Of course, if you have such a case or such a charge, your attorney, if they're anywhere competent, will raise these very important issues and hopefully get your matter, your criminal matter, stayed pending the outcome and preserve the constitutional issues on your behalf in fighting it. So, be careful. Don't end up with a GOFU where you're facing these charges and your current counsel isn't doing anything about it. I've had a number of those cases coming to me from other attorneys, where the client is like, my attorney never raised the constitutionality on these issues. Well, that is a huge mistake because you need to raise these issues, preserve these issues, because ultimately your odds of success are so great here, and it is essentially, arguably, malpractice to not, especially now, raise the constitutional issues if someone is facing in New Jersey or in the Circuit that has decided that these laws are unconstitutional. So, it's very important that you be aware of that.

Teddy Nappen 12:05

Would it be better if the Supreme Court does the other two cases and then take this one up as a mag separately, or is it better to do it all in one go?

Evan Nappen 12:13

The best for everyone would be complete victory as soon as possible. However, if they're not going to do that, if they're just going to address guns now and then we have these cases to address mags, fine. We'll fight the fight, and there you go. But the important thing, honestly, is that they're taking the cases. Remember, for years they did not take these cases, and instead, luckily, wisely, maybe by planning it correctly-I don't know-maybe intentionally, the groundwork got laid for the challenges to ultimately be successful. Because look, way back in May of 1990, I was an attorney. I remember this horrible New Jersey assault firearm law and magazine law passing. It was disgusting. Of course, it also became the Evan Nappen Full Employment Act. But the fact is, they passed this ridiculous law, and I remember thinking at the time, yeah, you know, in my heart, I knew this has got to be contrary to the Second Amendment. But we did not have any of the cases at that time. We didn't have Heller finding that the Second Amendment is an individual right. We didn't have McDonald incorporating the Second Amendment to the states. We didn't have Bruen laying out the test of constitutionality and what test is to be used to see if gun laws, if gun laws are proper and constitutional. We didn't have any of that. We didn't have the giant body of historical research that is critical in these wins. We didn't have any of it.

Evan Nappen 14:08

And what the pro-second amendment gun rights side has done is nothing short of Herculean. All the forces together have built this fortress of the ability to defend and to fight and to take on the fight and to attack. Built this up so that we're now in a position to have victory after victory. Yet, we see another

victory, another victory. Just determined in the Fifth Circuit, a nationwide injunction as applied to the members of the parties and their members, such as NRA and GOA and SAF, and FPC, etc. (<https://www.nraila.org/articles/20260805/federal-court-strikes-down-nfa-registration-requirements-for-suppressors-short-barreled-rifles-and-short-barreled-shotguns-in-nra-case>) All joining, attacking the National Firearms Act under a legal argument that was made possible by President Trump in the Big Beautiful Bill. Remember, the Big Beautiful Bill, which was a reconciliation bill, that was dealing with money, taxation, funding, money. When it's done as a reconciliation, then what you have is no need or ability to run a filibuster. Since simple majorities can pass the reconciliation bills under the Senate rules, the Democrats, the anti-Second Amendment rights oppressors, could not stop its passage because they would. You know they would demand the 60, have the filibuster cloture, and the laws would die on the vine. But this did not, because it only needed simple majority. In that bill there was a specific repeal of the taxes. The taxes that get paid on suppressors, short barrel shotguns, short barrel rifles, on any other weapon, and silencers. The tax was eliminated to zero. And by doing that, it opened up the ability for the Big, Beautiful Lawsuit that was just a success in the Fifth Circuit, and how it worked was not based on Second Amendment arguments. It was based on jurisdictional arguments.

Evan Nappen 16:55

You see, the National Firearms Act is premised upon the federal power of taxation. It is not founded upon the federal power of interstate commerce. Nor is it founded upon the military power. The federal government's powers are limited. And back in the '30s, when the National Firearms Act passed, they did not have the insane expansion of the commerce powers under the federal government. Therefore, the first national gun laws, which the NFA was, was jurisdiction by taxation. They imposed a \$200 tax on suppressors, short barrel rifles, etc. Now, \$200 in 1934 was just a little bit under \$5,000 in terms of it being adjusted for inflation. So, imagine today passing a law that said any semi-automatic firearm that you want to possess, you must pay a \$5,000 tax to the federal government in order to obtain it.

Evan Nappen 18:26

You see how prohibitive that makes the possession, and then go through a registration scheme that is hidden in a pretext of taxation, which is blatantly excessive. And what has happened is by the elimination of the tax to zero, the court essentially said if there's no tax, then there's no law. Therefore, the NFA collapses in the enforcement proceedings regarding the paperwork, registration, printing. All the things that get done on the acquisition of NFA items. That law has been stayed for seven days, even though this is a permanent injunction. There's been a seven-day stay to see if it progresses further, but that is a tremendous win on yet a different front of fighting for Second Amendment liberty. 've had both of these great wins, and we have a lot to be proud of, and a lot to be optimistic about.

Teddy Nappen 19:39

Regarding the big win with the NFA, I always want to see what is the what are the gun rights oppressionists? What are they pushing on this one? The Trace didn't bring up something. However, Giffords, they're flipping out, and I love this. (<https://giffords.org/press-release/2026/08/giffords-reacts-to-federal-court-striking-down-background-checks-for-firearm-silencers-short-barreled-rifles-and-shotguns/>) They go right to the. Just laugh, just reading it. This came from Trump's so-called Big Beautiful Bill. Remove the tax on these devices. The court has struck down the National Firearms Act, requiring background check and registration of firearm silencers, short barrel rifles, and shotguns.

Silencers and short barrel firearms, and other than handguns, are rarely used in crimes today because current laws have stopped them. Ding ding ding ding ding ding ding. Logical fallacy alert, everybody! Logical fallacy alert. Correlation does not equal causation. Saying that this will stop that these things have prevented crime does not make it so. What is your argument? Oh, they didn't. They don't have access. Even though prior to that, they weren't used in crime. Generally speaking, as well. Number one is handguns on that front. But I love how they just try to toss that in every time. Just a red herring every single time, and they try to push it.

Evan Nappen 20:56

Yeah, they're just propagandists, and they're losing left and right. It's going to end up, my friends. You can mark my words. It's going to end up that their entire world of gun law schemes, machinations, all these things that they have hoisted upon us as they die. I am greatly enjoying watching these gun laws die. As they die off and are impossible to find workarounds that, as well, get killed and die off. That they're simply going to be left with only one mission, and their one mission of the oppressors, the one mission of the Second Amendment rights haters, will be to repeal the Second Amendment. And that's where a fight's going to end up. A fight over the oppressors trying to remove the Second Amendment. Of course, they'll push this through their socialist, communist political movement. Remember, they want to make all kinds of institutional, governmental, radical changes, including getting rid of the Senate, including ending the nine-person Supreme Court and expanding it, getting rid of the presidency being elected where it's an appointed, et cetera, and going at all different constitutional rights and turning America into a communist nation, and in so doing, they will also push. And Teddy, I think they even are saying, even now, to get rid of the Second Amendment. And you'll see them joining hands. You'll see the anti-Second Amendment rights folks joining hands with the Communists, with the DSA, the Democrat Socialist Wing, as the Democrat Party in its civil war implodes over the monster that the Democrats have created themselves. This is their Frankenstein that is now running loose on them.

Teddy Nappen 23:27

Yeah, and quite frankly, just going back to them where they have pushed and pushed and pushed, where they said we don't. They and there was a great video where this guy was basically trying to argue the socialist dilemma of the Second Amendment because it's that catch 22 where they want to ban arms but they need arms for the revolution. For their great, you know, seize the means of production, but they cannot because they still push for red flag. They still push for universal background checks.

Evan Nappen 23:58

Teddy, it's like the meme with the guy sweating as to which button to push, you know. Ban guns so that you get, you know, so they could disarm the populace, or don't ban so that their radical, violent Left can have access to firearms. Right? You know, they're conflicted over that choice. Yeah. But regardless of what politics may lay in the future, right now the wins in court and legislatively. Okay. Remember, this is a combination. The reconciliation bill, etc. That's all an important legislative changes that open the door to the ability to win on the front of the NFA tax attack and the big beautiful lawsuit. We are making tremendous gains and wins. And it is absolutely a reason to be optimistic.

Evan Nappen 25:03

I'm looking forward to seeing the decision in Koons and Siegel cases. Those that's the case that addresses New Jersey's sensitive places. We're waiting for that same full en banc panel of the Third Circuit. Now, this is the same court that just killed New Jersey's assault firearm and magazine law. That same court will be rendering an opinion over the constitutional validity of New Jersey's sensitive places in the very near future. We're going to see it. We're just a matter, any day they can come out with that decision. Keep in mind, it's going to be very important in countering and destroying the Carry Killer bill that Murphy and company got enacted. They did it. How? They did it because of their hissy fit to the Bruen decision, mandating carry permits get issued. So, they tried to make it so that this insane matrix of sensitive places makes it so incredibly difficult to actually exercise that right. is same court that gave us this fantastic pro-Second Amendment decision will be coming out with the sensitive places decision shortly. I'm cautiously optimistic about that. Keep in mind that the vote of the judges on the assault firearm magazine case. That was a panel of 15 judges, and the vote was 10 on our side, and five against us. So, it was 15 judges. One of the judges that opted to stay in was a judge that had been on a temporary assignment in, and that judge was a known opponent to Second Amendment rights. So, of course he wanted to stay in, and of the votes of the 10 to five ruling, one of the five judges was the anti-Second Amendment judge, but that judge is not part of the decision that will come out of Koons and Siegel. Therefore, it's a 14-judge panel. And if we see the same voting as occurred on assault firearms and magazines, we should see a 10 to 4 ruling. Keeping our fingers crossed here in favor of knocking out New Jersey's sensitive places, which would be really fantastic. And so, hang in there, folks. We've suffered a long time, but our suffering is slowly but surely being relieved.

Evan Nappen 28:18

Hey, let me tell you about our good friends at WeShoot. WeShoot has some pretty cool offers and things happening. They're offering the Bull Armory Ultralight Pro, and Bull is proudly recognized as North Jersey Friends of NRA Gun of the year for 2026. It's lightweight. It's exceptionally accurate, and it's built for everyday carry. The pistol earned its title through outstanding performance, craftsmanship, and innovation. You can check out that Bull Armory Ultralight Pro at WeShoot. WeShoot is also offering Hornaday Critical Defense ammunition. Now, you know, Critical Defense and that Hornaday line of Critical Duty, as well, is one of the most trusted names in personal protection. That round is designed and engineered for reliable expansion, and dependable feeding, and confidence when it essentially matters most. And let me tell you, the Hornaday Critical Defense and Critical Duty, those are polymer-tipped rounds that perform arguably even better than hollow nose. But are not hollow nose bullets under New Jersey law because the nose is actually not hollow. It's got an insert filling the hole. So, because of that, it is an ideal round for carry in New Jersey. You can't carry, at the moment, actual hollow nose, but you can carry Critical Defense or Critical Duty. And frankly, it's got advantages over hollow nose.

Evan Nappen 30:12

Not just because it performs as well or better, but also because of the nose having the polymer plug. It actually feeds even more reliably than hollow nose. So, it's actually far superior, at least in these terms, to your normal hollow nose ammunition. So, check out Critical Defense at WeShoot. Also, WeShoot has the Ruger Mini-14 Ranch rifle. Now that Mini 14, that's an American classic. It's earned its reputation through decades of proven reliability, versatility, and timeless styling. And let me tell you

about the Ruger. The new Rugers are incredibly accurate. You know the old Ruger with the pencil barrels-they were called pencil barrels. They weren't known for their accuracy. hey functioned well and sure looked cool when the A-team had them rolling, right? But accuracy-wise, not so much. However, the new Rugers are extremely accurate. The barrel is thickened toward the base, and it is a redesign that gives incredible tack driving accuracy to the new Ruger Mini-14s. The Ranch rifle will be able to deliver for you, and of course, it's set so you can not only have the scope rings, the classic Ruger scope rings, but even a Picatinny rail that fits in where you could put the rings, and then you could Picatinny it up to whatever optics you like as well. So, Ruger has come a long way, and the new Mini-14 is something you need to check out. I really do love the new Mini. I have one myself and greatly appreciated having had the old ones as well. So, you can get yours at WeShoot. Weshootusa.com is their website.

Evan Nappen 32:26

I want to also remind you that if you want to get a New Jersey carry permit, you really should get it. Join our ranks of 100,000 or more here of permitted carry holders. The more folks we get with carries, the closer we get to constitutional carry. So, make sure you're part of that. You can get your CCARE certification from WeShoot. They have all the great training there. It's a fantastic facility. It's a wonderful resource, conveniently off the Parkway in Lakewood, New Jersey. So, make sure you stop by and check out their website as well. weshootusa.com. You'll be glad you did.

Evan Nappen 33:10

Let me also shamelessly plug my book, New Jersey Gun Law. The Bible of Jersey Gun Law. You need to have it. It's over 500 pages, 120 topics, all question and answer, so that you don't become a GOFU. Make sure you have that book. Go to EvanNappen.com. You'll see the big orange cover right there. Click it, and you'll have it in a matter of days. Go to EvanNappen.com to get your New Jersey Gun Law book. Hey, Teddy, what do you have for us today in Press Checks?

Teddy Nappen 33:48

Well, as you know, Press Checks are always free, and I imagine you've caught all about the In and Out shooting. What happened there?

Evan Nappen 33:59

Well, maybe our listeners aren't aware of that. would be surprised, but they may not know there was a major shooting that took place at, at and outside of and around this In / Out Burger in Idaho. Hey, I don't know if any of you've ever eaten at In / Out Burger. It's a West Coast thing. I really do love In / Out Burger. Just a side note, by the way, guys. But anyway, I digress. So, I could have well seen myself ending up at In / Out Burger in Idaho if I was out there. And

Teddy Nappen 34:33

Isn't there mustard on the burger that they do?

Evan Nappen 34:35

No, no, that's Whataburger. Another great burger chain. Oh, listen, we're gonna have to call this the Burger Show shortly, instead of the burger lawyer, hamburger lawyer.

Teddy Nappen 34:44

What's the best at In / Out?

Evan Nappen 34:47

I like to get you know they have the secret menu and all that. I get the protein wrap where they take their In / Out Burger and they wrap it in lettuce. You know, I've lost over 200 pounds, and I avoid the carbs. I love the lettuce wrap, the protein. They call them the protein wrap. They wrap their In / Out burgers strictly in lettuce, so you have no carbs, and they're delicious in-out burgers. So, that's my favorite. But their stuff is really delicious. However, on this particular occasion, it was not so good. We had a bad guy going there with a gun and decided he was going to shoot up the place. And lo and behold, what happened, Teddy? What happened? A fellow by the name of Jordan Salinas. Go ahead.

Teddy Nappen 35:35

Yes, good guy with a gun. Jordan Salinas, where he was with his girlfriend, and they had planned a quiet Saturday. You know, going horseback riding, so and you know, going out for a nice lunch in Twin Falls. And as the gunman Chad Williams has been identified, who was shooting up the In-N-Out, Jordan drew his holstered FN five seven semi-auto pistol with a suppressor to fire back and save the people inside. (<https://www.ammoland.com/2026/08/armed-citizen-used-a-suppressed-pistol-to-save-lives-at-twin-falls-in-n-out/>)

Evan Nappen 36:10

Okay, so first of all, that gun standard has a 20 round magazine, and it's readily available to have a 30-round mag, so that gun with its standard capacity magazine, you would you would up until the recent case, and even though there's a stay at the moment, you still can't have that handgun with the standard capacity magazine. But I'm confident we will be able to. So, you couldn't have that gun in New Jersey unless you had a lame 10-round magazine in it. Which what's the point when you have an FN five seven that can hold 20 or even 30 of the five seven ammo? And then his gun has a silencer or suppressor on it, which is just great. Again, that is prohibited in New Jersey because New Jersey doesn't allow silencers at all. So, here we have this crime being committed by this Chad fellow, and lo and behold, the hero, the good guy with the gun, breaks out his five seven with the suppressor and starts laying down fire on the bad guy. It was fantastic, and he was credited, wasn't he, by law enforcement with saving lives, Who's Crowder?

Teddy Nappen 39:28

Stephen Crowder, Gladworth Crowder. He did a full breakdown on it, where it's about training, where you just do enough to where you can handle yourself in a situation. And what

Evan Nappen 39:36

did he? What did he say hasn't been?

Teddy Nappen 39:39

So the one thing that caught is the fact that he's has a suppressor attached to it, and it was identified in one of his other videos as he uses an FN five seven USG fitted Tactical Solutions Axiom suppressor, firing Vanguard 55 grain subsonic five sevens.

Evan Nappen 40:00

Nice.

Teddy Nappen 40:00

That was I so that may not now they may not be his exact rig at that moment, but that was what was reported to what he was practicing with. But that being said, this is one to one of what he was using in the and so

Evan Nappen 40:12

you know when you step back, Teddy, and look at this. Normally, when there is some bad guy shooting up soft target area, the antis just cheer because they get to do a blood dance to try to attack and oppress gun rights, because it's the old saying of they never let a tragedy go to waste. However, here the entire thing was turned on its ear because we had an armed civilian who saved lives and did it with a 20 to 30 round capacity handgun with a suppressor, okay, and so this completely turned around this incident to be one of the greatest current examples of why citizens need to be armed and ready and trained, and so the antis can't even respond because in their normal blood dance that they like to do, it just always goes back to well. Look, we had a good guy with a gun make the difference. That's the key, and it's so important, so great that Jordan Salinas was there to save the folks that he did. He rendered aid even to the injured after. He's just a great person as well. It was amazing to see this, that, and it so turned it around that I'm going to put on my conspiracy hat the way we sometimes see happen with the shootings that they use to go against our gun rights, I'm going to put my conspiracy hat on and say I think this, given how the outcome was, that this was a false flag operation run by us, because it's had such tremendous-I'm just kidding about that. Of course, it's not run by us. We, but you know, yeah, Candace Owens might run with it. Oh yeah, it was-it was the pro-gun side that engineered the entire thing. To no, but the thing is, the impact has been tremendous, and it's now iconic of Jordan Salinas in his position. It's like as iconic as Sophie Cunningham pointing is Jordan Salinas in his perfect firing position with his suppressed five seven. Seeing

Teddy Nappen 42:57

the I've been seeing the citizen cowboy joke that's been circling. Oh yeah,

Evan Nappen 43:02

as compared to Citizen Vigilante, it's now Citizen Cowboy.

Teddy Nappen 43:06

Yeah, Citizen Cowboy because he has. But he's Citizen

Evan Nappen 43:09

Defender. He's not a vigilante. He's a defender. He's a defender at the moment. Being a man, imagine that being a man. Actually, is that your greatest example of so-called toxic masculinity, right there, called saving lives. I guess so. The

Teddy Nappen 43:25

the other thing is, and I want to take this step further. I see where we win in the argument of common use. I want this to be a common thing where people are using suppressors in self-defense shootings. I want people to start carrying and doing that. I think there's a lot of advantages to

Evan Nappen 43:44

having them in self-defense shootings because

Teddy Nappen 43:46

if you go back to the old where it was like we're using 45 for the stopping power or nine, this guy had a five-seven with the suppressor. We were putting out more rounds, and you're protecting your hearing on that. So it's definitely that new frame. It's a very interesting

Evan Nappen 44:01

choice, and I'm sure on the technical side, people will can always debate what's the best. But the bottom line is, well, someone

Teddy Nappen 44:09

was going to say like, but look, what's really the best

Evan Nappen 44:11

is that a good guy with a gun helped save the day, and that's what really matters.

Evan Nappen 44:17

And Teddy, I need to tell you about this week's GOFU because I've been seeing a lot of it. GOFUs, as you know, are Gun Owner Fuck Ups. It's where gun owners make expensive mistakes, costly mistakes, and you get to learn for free. I got to tell you, this week's GOFU something I see. You know, when it comes to restoring rights, when it comes to getting an expungement, I have to say, do not do it yourself. Seek professionals that know how to do it. I've been getting more poorly, improperly done pro se, where the individual themselves tried to expunge their record. There's too much to that law. Too many complications. Too many nuances. Too many things you have to know to do it right. And when you do it wrong, it's 10 times more difficult to fix it, and it can end up costing you. If you expunge poorly, and then you apply to get your firearm because you think your rights are restored and they're not, you end up with a denial. Now you end up with falsification of the application because you wrote no when you should have wrote yes. You wrote no because you thought you were expunged, but you didn't do it properly. We see this all the time. There is an entire procedure. There are things you need to know. You need to go to a professional. Whether, you know, and I just don't mean me. Like some attorney. We do them at my firm. We do plenty of expungements. We help people with that. It's great. But you need to make sure whoever is doing your expungement really understands it, and especially does it

with gun ownership in mind. Because when it's done poorly, it can absolutely come back and bite you in the behind.

Evan Nappen 45:54

Hey, this is Evan Nappen and Teddy Nappen, reminding you that gun laws don't protect honest citizens from criminals. They protect criminals from honest citizens.

Speaker 2 46:01

Gun Lawyer is a CounterThink Media production. The music used in this broadcast was managed by Cosmo Music, New York, New York. Reach us by emailing Evan@gun.lawyer. The information and opinions in this broadcast do not constitute legal advice. Consult a licensed attorney in your state.